1	DENNIS K. BURKE		
	United States Attorney		
2	District of Arizona		
	Evo A. DeConcini Courthouse		
3	405 West Congress St., Suite 4800		
	Tuscon, Arizona 85801-5040		
4	Telephone: (520) 620-7300		
5	ALEXIS V. ANDREWS		
	Trial Attorney, Tax Division		
6	U.S. Department of Justice		
	P.O. Box 683, Ben Franklin Station		
7	Washington, D.C. 20044-0683		
	Telephone: (202) 307-6432		
8			
	Attorneys for the United States of America		
9			
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
10	DISTRICT OF	ARIZONA	
11	United States of America,		
		Civil No. 09-CV-444-PHX-SRB	
12	Plaintiff,	G21421(0) 0) 0 1 122 123 (G1)	
13	V.	UNITED STATES' RESPONSE IN	
		OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR	
14	Maria D. Forman et al.,	SANCTIONS	
15	Defendants.		
16			
	The United States, through undersigne	d counsel, hereby responds to the Motion	
17		, J 1	
	for Sanctions (Doc. No. 114) as follows:		
18			
	Defendant Elmer P. Vild offers no legal	basis for his Motion for Sanctions. He	
19			
	apparently misconstrues the Court's prior ord	er – which denied the United States'	
20			
	various motions to strike on the grounds that it could "not yet conclude that Defendant		
21			
	Vild does not have an interest"—as resolving	ng the issue of his purported	
22			

1	representation of DLP LT 13. (Doc. No. 61) The Court denied the previous motions to		
2	strike because it lacked sufficient information to determine Mr. Vild's interest in the		
3	case. ( <i>Id.</i> ) Since that time, new information has been made available, and as a result		
4	the United States brought that information to the attention of the Court and renewed its		
5	request. This is not sanctionable behavior, but legitimate practice of law.		
6	Furthermore, Mr. Vild accuses the United States of avoiding settlement.		
7	However, the validity of Mr. Vild's representation of DLP LT 13 is an issue that must be		
8	resolved before meaningful settlement negotiations can occur. Mr. Vild currently		
9	purports to represent DLP LT 13 in this case, and has sometimes filed documents on		
10	behalf of Defendant Maria D. Forman, whom he clearly has no authority to represent.		
11	Rather than avoiding or delaying settlement, the United States' motion was intended to		
12	expedite settlement and clarify the roles of the various individuals involved with the		
13	case.		
14	For the foregoing reasons, the United States respectfully requests that the Motion		
15	for Sanctions Against Plaintiff (Doc. No. 114) be denied.		
16	Respectfully submitted this 10th day of November, 2010.		
17	DENNIS K. BURKE		
18	United States Attorney		
19	By: <u>/s/ Alexis V. Andrews</u> ALEXIS V. ANDREWS		
20	U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 683		
21	Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044		
22	Attorneys for the United States		

2 6138106.1

4	CEDEVE	CATE OF CENTUCE	
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	It is hereby certified that service of the foregoing UNITED STATES' RESPONSE		
3	IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SANCTIONS has been made this 10th day of		
4	November, 2010, by placing copies in the United States Mail addressed to the following		
5	Maria D. Forman c/o 5640 E. Duane Lane	Denise Ann Faulk Office of the Attorney General	
6	Cave Creek, AZ 85331	1275 W Washington St Phoenix, AZ 85007	
7	Jimmy C. Chisum, 84388-008	THOCHA, THE GOOD,	
	Herlong-CA-Herlong-FCI	Elmer P. Vild	
8	Federal Correction Institution	989 S. Main St.	
	P.O. Box 800	#A-269	
9	Herlong, CA 96113	Cottonwood, AZ 86326	
10		/s/ Alexis V. Andrews	
11		ALEXIS V. ANDREWS	
11		Trial Attorney, Tax Division United States Department of Justice	
12		Officed States Department of Justice	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			

22

3 6138106.1